

1 Ara Sahelian, Esq., [CBN 169257]
2 SAHELIAN LAW OFFICES
3 25108 Marguerite Pkwy, Ste A
4 Mission Viejo, CA 92692
5 949. 859. 9200
6 e-mail: contact@sahelianlaw.com
7 Attorney for Agi Smith
8

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11
12
13 Andres Gomez,
14
15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.
17

18 Agi Smith,
19 Defendants,
20
21
22

CASE NO.: 3:21-cv-07154-JCS
The Honorable Joseph C. Spero
REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL
TIME TO FILE AN ANSWER

1 Plaintiff, Mr. Andres Gomez, alleges he is visually impaired. Mr. Gomez has filed
 2 a series of complaints against real estate agents operating in Napa (see below). The
 3 complaints are carbon copies of each other. They each allege, in essence, that he
 4 was unable to navigate an agent's website and was, as a result, prevented from
 5 using his/her services. Aside from injunctive relief, he seeks damages under the
 6 Unruh Act. These are a few of the cases Mr. Gomez has filed recently.

7 Matter: Gomez v. Corro, Case Number: 3:21-cv-07085-SI, United States District
 8 Court, Northern District of California, The Honorable Donna M. Ryu

9 Matter: Gomez v. Gates Estates, Inc., Case Number: 3:21-cv-07147-SK, United
 10 States Courthouse, San Francisco Courthouse, The Honorable Sallie Kim

11 Matter: Gomez v. Naimo, Case Number: 4:21-cv-07328-SBA, United States
 12 District Court for the Northern District of California (Oakland), The Honorable
 Saundra Brown Armstrong

13 Matter: Gomez v. Smith, Case Number: 3:21-cv-07154-JCS, U.S. District Court
 14 California Northern District (San Francisco), The Honorable Joseph C. Spero

15 Matter: Gomez v. Sperow, Case Number: 4:21-cv-07852-YGR, United States
 16 District Court for the Northern District of California (Oakland), The Honorable
 Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

17 Matter: Gomez v. The Magliocco Group Inc., Case Number: 3:21-cv-07148-JCS,
 18 U.S. District Court California Northern District (San Francisco), The Honorable
 19 Joseph C. Spero

20 Defendants, named above, are represented by this attorney. This attorney has
 21 deposed Mr. Gomez in an unrelated case brought in the Central District (*Gomez v.*
 22 *Aslan*, Case Number: 21STCV17753, Superior Court of California, County of Los
 23 Angeles, The Honorable Gregory Alarcon).

24 Defendants intend to file motions to dismiss for lack of standing, on the grounds
 25 that the online service the real estate agents operate are not connected to brick and
 26 mortar facilities. In other words, presence at a real estate agent's office is not vital
 27 in the search, selection and purchase of a property. Mr. Gomez, essentially, has not
 28 alleged an injury-in-fact coupled with the purported intent to return to a
 "noncompliant facility," in order to have standing.

1 Mr. Gomez's claim, in addition, defies logic. Mr. Gomez cannot possibly qualify
2 for a loan. He relies on SSD benefits, which pays roughly \$900/mo., aside from the
3 fact that he has been unemployed for a decade or more.

4
5 Defendants have initiated a dialogue; met and conferred with various counsels
6 assigned to Mr. Gomez' cases. Having met and conferred, defendant, Agi Smith,
7 respectfully requests leave to file a motion to dismiss under 12(b)1. In addition,
8 these defendants seek to have these cases consolidated for the purpose of reducing
9 the cost of defense, and the burden on the Courts.

10 Defendant Agi Smith, therefore, respectfully seeks leave to file the motion on or
11 before December 12, 2021. This should give the parties additional time to resolve
12 their differences, if possible. If the parties do not reach agreement, this defendant
13 will then file its motion to dismiss.

14 Finally, all defendants also seek additional time to explore the possibility of
15 consolidating these cases, before resorting to motions. Defendants may also elect
16 to have Mr. Gomez post a bond under Code of Civil Procedure 1030, given he is
17 domiciled in the state of Florida.

18 **Date:** November 22, 2021

19
20
21 _____/s/ Ara Sahelian_____
22 Ara Sahelian, Esq.
23
24
25
26
27
28